

# Integer-valued Randomness and Degrees

Michael McInerney, Victoria University of Wellington. Joint work with Rod Downey and George Barmpalias, also at VUW

Computability Complexity and Randomness 2014

June 12, 2014

# Martingales

- ▶ Unpredictability paradigm - von Mises, 1919.
- ▶ You try to make money by betting on the next bit of the sequence. If the sequence is random, you should not be able to make arbitrarily much.
- ▶ A **martingale** is a function  $f : 2^{<\omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that for all  $\sigma$ ,

$$f(\sigma) = \frac{f(\sigma 0) + f(\sigma 1)}{2}.$$

(fairness condition)

- ▶ A martingale  $f$  *succeeds* on  $A$  if  $\limsup_n f(A \upharpoonright n) = \infty$ .

- ▶ A martingale is c.e. if  $f(\sigma)$  is left-c.e. That is, there is a computable approximation  $f_s$  where  $f(\sigma) = \lim_s f_s(\sigma)$  and  $f_s(\sigma)$  is an increasing sequence of rationals.

## Theorem (Schnorr)

*A real is Martin-Löf random iff no c.e. martingale succeeds on it.*

We can vary the effectiveness of the martingale, or the definition of “succeeds” to get different randomness notions.

If  $f(\sigma)$  is a computable real, this leads to computably randoms.

Computable martingale + *Schnorr succeeds*  $\implies$  Schnorr random.

Computable martingale + *Kurtz succeeds*  $\implies$  Kurtz random.

# Integer-valued Randoms

- ▶ The martingales allow wagers of, say,  $\$ \frac{1}{1,000,000}$ . This cannot be done in a casino.
- ▶ What if we allowed wagers that were discrete? For example, \$1, \$2, \$3, ....

## Definition (Bienvenu, Stephan, Teutsch)

$X$  is IVR iff no computable integer-valued martingale succeeds on  $X$ .

- ▶ Can also define *F-valued random*, *finitely-valued random*, and *single-valued random*.

## Theorem (Bienvenu, Stephan, Teutsch)

1. *Computably random implies IVR implies FVR implies SVR.*
  2. *Kurtz random implies SVR.*
  3. *FVR implies bi-immune.*
- ▶ We know that computably random implies Schnorr implies Kurtz (and no reversals).
  - ▶ And Schnorr implies law of large numbers.

## Theorem (Bienvenu, Stephan, Teutsch)

*No other implications hold.*

- ▶ Consider the real-valued martingale which starts with \$1 and wagers half its capital on 1 every time. No matter how many times it may lose, it always has some capital left. It always then has a chance of succeeding later.
- ▶ Integer-valued martingales have a **minimum bet**.
- ▶ Suppose  $m$  is integer-valued and wagers some of its capital on the outcome 1. It must wager at least \$1. Then if the outcome is 0, it **must lose at least \$1**.
- ▶ So if  $m$  has \$ $k$ , it can lose at most  $k$  times before it is bankrupt and cannot wager again.
- ▶ Therefore a strategy for defeating an integer-valued martingale is **finitary**.

# Genericity

Definition (Actually a theorem of Jockush and Posner)

$A$  is called  **$n$ -generic** if  $A$  meets or avoids each  $\Sigma_n^0$  set  $S$  of strings.  
That is, either

- ▶  $(\exists \sigma \prec A)\sigma \in S$ , or
- ▶  $(\exists \sigma \prec A)(\forall \tau \in S)(\tau \not\prec \sigma)$ .

(Kurtz)  $B$  is weakly  $n$ -generic if it meets all dense  $S$ 's.

## Theorem (BST)

- ▶ *If  $A$  is weakly 2-generic then  $A$  is IVR. Hence the IVR sets are co-meagre.*
- ▶ *There is a 1-generic which is not IVR.*

## Corollary

*There is an IVR which is not Schnorr random.*

## Some other results

- ▶ A technique which can be used for real-valued martingales is the **savings trick**.
- ▶ Given a martingale  $m$ , you can define the martingale  $m'$  as follows. Every time you win \$1, you save it, and then wager with the remaining capital in the same proportion as  $m$ , until you make another dollar.

### Theorem (Teutsch)

*There is a set which is not IVR, but is IVR for martingales with the savings property.*

- ▶ This is because we can no longer guarantee the **proportions** will give us integer wagers.

## Theorem (Chalcraft, Dougherty, Freiling, Teutsch)

*Let  $A$  and  $B$  be finite sets of computable real numbers. Then every  $A$ -valued random is  $B$ -valued random iff there is a  $k \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $B \subseteq k \cdot A$ .*

Peretz and Bavly investigate this for computable infinite sets.

# Questions

- ▶ What degrees contain or bound IVRs?
- ▶ Do IVRs jump invert?
- ▶ Can we refine the level of genericity required? We have that weak 2- is enough, but 1- is not.
- ▶ Left-c.e. reals?
- ▶ What about partial IVRs?

## Multiply generic sets

- ▶ A set is  $\Sigma_1^0$  if it is the range of a partial computable function. So a set is 1-generic iff it meets or avoids the range of every partial computable function.
- ▶ Consider instead a function that is  $\omega$ -c.a.
- ▶ That is, there is an order function  $h$  (computable, nondecreasing and unbounded) and a computable approximation  $g(., .)$  such that  $\lim_s g(x, s) = g(x)$  and  $g(x, s) \neq g(x, s + 1)$  at most  $h(x)$  many times.
- ▶ We say that  $g$  is monotonically  $h$ -c.a. if the approximation has  $g(x, s) \preceq g(x, s + 1)$ .

## Definition

Let  $h$  be an order. We say that  $A$  is  $h$ -multiply generic if  $A$  meets or avoids the range of every partial monotonically  $h$ -c.a. function.  $A$  is weakly  $h$ -multiply generic if it meets the range of every partial monotonically  $h$ -c.a. function with dense range.

- ▶ We look into what sets can compute multiply generics later.

## Theorem

*If  $h$  and  $h'$  are order functions, then if  $A$  is (weakly)  $h$ -multiply generic, it is also (weakly)  $h'$ -multiply generic. So we say  $A$  is multiply generic if it is  $h$ -multiply generic for some order  $h$ .*

## Theorem

*If  $A$  is weakly multiply generic, then  $A$  is IVR.*

The proof is a simple modification of the BST proof for weakly 2-genericity.

The converse does not hold as there are MLRs which are not weakly 1-generic.

- ▶ Something weaker will still allow us to compute an IVR.

### Definition (Downey, Jockusch, Stob)

We say that a set of strings  $S$  is pb-dense if it is the range of a total function  $f$  with computable approximation  $f(\sigma, s)$  such that

- ▶  $\lim_s f(\sigma, s) = f(\sigma)$
- ▶  $f(\sigma, 0) = \sigma$ , and
- ▶  $|\{s : f(\sigma, s) \neq f(\sigma, s + 1)\}| < p(\sigma)$  for some primitive recursive function  $p$ .

A set  $A$  is pb-generic if it meets all pb-dense sets.

### Theorem

*If  $A$  is pb-generic, then  $A$  is IVR.*

# Array noncomputable degrees

## Definition (Downey, Jockusch, Stob)

A degree  $\mathbf{a}$  is array noncomputable if for every function  $f \leq_{\text{wtt}} \emptyset'$ , there is a function  $g \leq_T \mathbf{a}$  such that

$$(\exists^\infty n)(g(n) > f(n)).$$

- ▶ Allows **multiple permitting** arguments.
- ▶ A weakening of non-low<sub>2</sub>.

The c.e. ANC degrees are especially important. They are the degrees that

- ▶ Contain c.e. sets of infinitely often maximal Kolmogorov complexity. (Kummer)
- ▶ Have effective packing dimension 1. (Downey and Greenberg)
- ▶ Compute left-c.e. reals  $\alpha$  and  $B <_T \alpha$  such that if  $V$  is a presentation of  $\alpha$  (that is,  $V$  is prefix-free, c.e., and  $\alpha = \mu(V)$ ), then  $V \leq_T B$ . (Downey and Greenberg)
- ▶ Bound disjoint c.e. sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that every separating set for  $A$  and  $B$  computes the halting problem. (Downey, Jockusch and Stob)
- ▶ Do not have strong minimal covers. (Ishmukhametov)

## Theorem (DJS)

*Every ANC degree  $\mathbf{a}$  bounds a pb-generic.*

## Theorem

1. *Every ANC degree  $\mathbf{a}$  bounds an IVR.*
2. *If  $\mathbf{a}$  is c.e. and bounds an IVR, then it is ANC.*

# Degrees containing (or not containing) IVRs

So if ANC degrees bound IVRs, do all ANC degrees **contain** IVRs?

**No.**

## Theorem

*There is a c.e. ANC degree which does not contain an IVR.*

## Corollary

*The IVR degrees are not closed upwards in the Turing degrees.*

We know that every high degree contains a computably random, and so an IVR. Moving down one level in the high/low hierarchy, we have though

### Theorem

*There is a  $high_2$  c.e. degree which does not contain an IVR.*

The only c.e. degree which contains a MLR is the complete degree. We have here

### Theorem

*There is a low c.e. degree which contains an IVR.*

In fact we have more

### Theorem

*For every degree c.e. in and above  $\emptyset'$ , there is a c.e. degree containing an IVR which jumps to it.*

## A closer look at multiply generics

- ▶  $\emptyset'$  computes a multiply generic.
- ▶ Every  $\overline{GL}_2$  set  $(A'' >_{\mathcal{T}} (A \oplus \emptyset')')$  computes a multiply generic.
- ▶ To get finer results, we look at a new hierarchy defined by Downey and Greenberg.

## Definition (Downey, Greenberg and Weber)

We say that a c.e. degree  $\mathbf{a}$  is totally  $\omega$ -c.a. if for all functions  $g \leq_T \mathbf{a}$ ,  $g$  is  $\omega$ -c.a. That is, there is a computable approximation  $g(x, s)$  and a computable function  $h$  such that  $g(x) = \lim_s g(x, s)$  and

$$|\{s : g(x, s) \neq g(x, s + 1)\}| < h(x).$$

- ▶ Every c.e. array computable degree is totally  $\omega$ -c.a.
- ▶ These degrees are **definable** in the c.e. degrees (DGW).
- ▶ The c.e. not totally  $\omega$ -c.a. degrees are exactly the degrees containing **computably finitely random** reals (Downey and Ng).

## Theorem

1. *Every c.e. not totally  $\omega$ -c.a. degree computes a multiply generic.*
2. *If a c.e. degree bounds a weakly multiply generic, then it is not totally  $\omega$ -c.a.*

Outside the c.e. degrees, we seem to need something slightly stronger.

## Definition

Let  $h : \omega \rightarrow \omega^2$  be computable, nondecreasing and unbounded. We say that a degree  $\mathbf{a}$  is uniformly totally  $\omega^2$ -c.a. if for every  $g \leq_T \mathbf{a}$  there is an  $h$ -computable approximation. That is, there is a computable approximation  $g(\cdot, \cdot)$  and a uniformly computable sequence of functions  $\langle o_s \rangle_{s < \omega}$  from  $\omega$  to  $\omega^2$  such that

- ▶  $g(x) = \lim_s g(x, s)$ ,
- ▶  $o_0(x) \leq h(x)$ ,
- ▶  $o_{s+1}(x) \leq o_s(x)$ , and
- ▶ if  $g(x, s+1) \neq g(x, s)$  then  $o_{s+1}(x) < o_s(x)$ .

## Theorem

*If  $\mathbf{a}$  is not uniformly totally  $\omega^2$ -c.a. then  $\mathbf{a}$  computes a multiply generic.*

- ▶ These definitions can be extended to much larger computable ordinals.
- ▶ They give are a non-collapsing hierarchy of degrees within the  $\text{low}_2$  degrees.

## Left-c.e. reals

- ▶ Every high c.e. degree contains a left-c.e. computably random, and so a left-c.e. IVR.

### Theorem

*If  $X$  is left-c.e. and IVR, then  $X$  is of high degree.*

# Partial IVRs

- ▶ What if the betting strategy did not have to tell you in advance what it does? We then get **partial** IVRs.

## Theorem

- ▶ *There is a partial IVR which is not partial computably random.*
- ▶ *There is an IVR which is not partial IVR. (In fact it can be low.)*

## Theorem

*Partial IVR and IVR cannot be separated in the high degrees.*

## Theorem

*There is a  $\Delta_2^0$  IVR which does not bound a partial IVR.*

## Theorem

*Every pb-generic is partial IVR.*